

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/784,564	02/23/2004	Donald Blashka	P/121-2	P/121-2 8647	
7:	590 03/31/2005		EXAMINER		
Philip M. Weiss, Esq.			KIM, EUGENE LEE		
Weiss & Weiss 300 Old Country Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 251			3721		
Mineola, NY	11501		DATE MAILED: 03/31/2009	DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/784,564	BLASHKA ET AL.	60				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Eugene L Kim	3721					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommendation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions from the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail termed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely the mailing date of this or ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
•	nis action is non-final.						
.—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-15 is/are withdres 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume. 2. Certified copies of the priority docume. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume. application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/784,564 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 10-15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 3/11/2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaufman (#5,201,164) in view of Foster et al (#6,063,226). Kaufman substantially shows the claimed subject matter including unwinding a supply roll (col 2 lines 35+), dispensing solution onto the sheet. Kaufman discloses a preferable method is using perforated tubes for wetting the sheets (col 2 lines 50+). Kaufman discloses that the sheet is slit into eight ribbons and folded into a z-fold configuration as an example (col 2 lines 60+). Kaufman discloses slitting the sheet and wrapping the folded webs by combining sheets into a sausage. The eight continuous webs are read on the eight ribbons slit. Kaufman does not show the s-wrap rollers or folding plates as claimed. However, Foster et al show the use of S-wrap rollers 54, 55 which feed the material evenly and continuously through the system (col 3 lines 60+). It would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/784,564

Art Unit: 3721

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Kaufman with S-wrap rollers as taught by Foster et al to feed the material evenly and continuously. Regarding the folding plates and type of fold configuration, such as, C, W or e fold configuration, the examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art to use fold plates for folding as well as to form the particular fold configurations as claimed. Kaufman discloses that the liquid add-on was a certain weight percent based on the dry weight of the basesheet. Kaufman discloses that after the basesheet has been impregnated with the desired amount of liquid (col 2 lines 55+), the sheet is slit. This infers that the basesheet is weighed with the correct amount of solution on it.

Furthermore, it is well known in the art to weigh articles to reach predetermined weights. Lastly, it is well known in the art to manufacture optimal speed ranges and to control flow rates and to use control systems as claimed to monitor flow rates as claimed.

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene L Kim whose telephone number is 571 272-4463. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 8 a.m. to 6 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,564

Art Unit: 3721

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eugene L Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3721